

REMARKS

1. The Examiner has objected to the accuracy of the description for Figure 2, stating that the figure shows a cross-sectional view of the invention, rather than just the housing. However, the cross-sectional view does not show the tumbler **21**, release member **29**, push-button **27**, or spring **60**. The view merely shows the housing holes and openings, as well as cross-hatching for the housing walls that were theoretically sliced by the viewing plane. As such, it is in fact simply a cross-sectional view of the housing. Figure 27 is a true cross-section through the invention and is labeled as such.

2. The examiner further objected to the description of Figure 6. The description has been appropriately modified.


3. The examiner objected to claims 2, 16, and 21. Those claims have been cancelled.

4. The examiner rejected claims 1-4, 9, and 14-29 based upon 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite with regard to the claimed subject matter. The remaining claims have been rewritten to more distinctly state the subject matter which the applicant regards as the invention. In addition, claims 32-46 have been added.

CONCLUSION

For the foregoing reasons, the application should be in condition for allowance.

Respectfully submitted



Thomas A. O'Rourke

Reg. No.: 27,665

BODNER & O'ROURKE, L.L.P.

425 Broadhollow Road

Melville, New York 11747

(631) 249-7500

CERTIFICATE OF MAILING

I hereby certify that the foregoing Response was mailed by first class mail,
postage prepaid, in an envelope addressed to the Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450, on this 22nd day of, October 2007.



Thomas A. O'Rourke